

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BANGALORE DISTRICT.

No. D¹ Dis. 1529—23-24, dated 15—17th May 1924.

Under Section 49 of the Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation and the rules framed under Section 62, Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation, it is hereby notified that the undermentioned gentlemen were declared elected as members of Village Panchayet of Motagondahalli, Magadi Taluk.

1. Mr. Ramadas Sastry,
2. „ Basavalingiah

... Landholder
... Merchant.

CHARLES NGRONHA,
For Deputy Commissioner.

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 4TH ORDINARY MEETING OF THE BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD
HELD ON TUESDAY AND WEDNESDAY THE 15TH AND 16TH APRIL 1924.

15th April 1924.

Present 37 | Absent 11

16th April 1924.

Present 20 | Absent 27

2. (a) Read District Board Budget Estimates for 1924-25.
- (b) Budget estimates of the Taluk Boards for 1924-25.

Resolution.—Resolved that the the next meeting of the Board be held on 26th May 1924, that Finance Committee should meet at 11 A.M. on 24th April 1924, to compile the District Board Budget for 1924-25.

Mr. K. Krishna Murthi Rao, proposed that the quorum prescribed in Rule 3 under clause (j) be reduced from 2/3 to a half and no quorum be prescribed for meetings adjourned for want of a quorum.

This was seconded by Mr. S. Sreekantaiya, and carried.

- (c) Irrigation Cess Budget for 1924-25.

Resolution.—Deferred for want of Budgets from Taluk Boards.

3. Proposal regarding the separate Engineering Establishment to the District Board.

Resolution.—After discussion this was put to vote 13 for and 11 against. Mr. K. Krishna Murthi Rao demanded a poll. Mr. B. Usman Khan seconded the same.

For.—Messrs. (1) T. Ramachandra Rao, (2) R. Gopalswamy Iyer, (3) G. Subba Rao, (4) B. Muniswamappa, (5) S. D. Dasappa, (6) K. Lakshamiaya, (7) B. Mallappa, (8) C. Naraharaiya, (9) M. Rangaswamy Iyengar, (10) B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, (11) Syed Abdul Rasheed, (12) Y. Ponnaiya, (13) B. Abdur Rahmon.

Against.—Messrs. (1) K. Krishna Murthi Rao, (2) Gurulingaiya, (3) Yejaman Basappa, (4) S. K. Narasimhaiya, (5) Veeragowda, (6) Bajee Rao Sindhe, (7) Hanumegowda, (8) S. Sreekantaiya, (9) Rao Saheb Channaiya, (10) Syed Nasaruddin Mahomed Razvi, (11) B. Usman Khan.

Resolved that there should be a separate Engineering Establishment.

Deferred Subjects.

4. List of Villages, received from Taluk Boards, wherein new Schools have to be started, on population basis.

Resolution.—Deferred until the other remaining three Taluks send their list of names of the villages in which new schools have to be started.

5. Read Memo No. H. C. 272, dated 25—27th September 1923, from the Deputy Commissioner forwarding copies of resolutions passed at the recent Local Self-Government Conference relating to Village Panchayets and requesting to furnish the views of the District Board on the recommendations noted below.

(1) That if the Village Panchayet Scheme is to work satisfactorily it should be introduced in all rural areas without exception, the jurisdiction of the Panchayet being confined to a single village or a group of neighbouring villages according to the convenience and wishes of the people.

Mr. Krishna Murthi Rao proposed an amendment that the Panchayets to be formed on the application of the people of the villages. This was seconded by Mr. Hanumantha Rao. The amendment was put to vote. Seven voted for the amendment and 14 against. Thus the amendment was lost. The original proposition was then put to vote. Eighteen voted for and 1 against. Thus the original proposition was carried with the modification, "that the goal may be to convert all villages into Village Panchayets, but it must be done gradually."

(2) That the following services should be prescribed as the obligatory duties of Village Panchayets:—

(a) Primary Education:—

Mr. B. Sreenivasa Iyengar moved that amongst the resolutions passed in the Local Self-Government Conference, in proposition, (2) (a) delete the words "Primary Education." Mr. S. Sreekantaiya seconded the proposition. Fourteen voted for and 14 against. Mr. Rao Sahab H. Chennaiya demanded a poll and Mr. B. Usman Khan seconded the same.

For.—Messrs. (1) B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, (2) Syed Nasaruddin Mahomed Razvi, (3) G. Subba Rao, (4) M. Rangaswamy Iyengar, (5) S. D. Dasappa, (6) C. Narahariah, (7) B. Mallappa, (8) T. Ramachandra Rao, (9) D. S. Hanumantha Rao, (10) S. Sreekantaiya, (11) D. Kodadiyappa, (12) Chikkaveeranna, (13) Seenappa, (14) Adinarayana Chetty.

Against.—Messrs. (1) S. K. Narasimhaiya, (2) R. Gopalaswamy Iyer, (3) Dr. F. Fernandez, (4) Y. Ponnaiya, (5) Rao Sahab Channaiya, (6) C. Tirumalachari, (7) Syed Abdul Rasheed, (8) Rudrappa, (9) K. Lakshmanaiya, (10) B. Muniswamappa, (11) Veeregowda, (12) B. Usman Khan, (13) Hanumegowda, (14) B. Abdur Rahmon.

The President did not make use of his casting vote.

(b) Sanitation including water supply.

(c) Communications.

(d) Agriculture including Veterinary and Sericulture.

Resolution.—Carried.

(e) Co-operative

Resolution.—After discussion this was put to vote 9 for, and 2 against.

(3) That it is desirable to invest Village Panchayets with the powers of Village Courts under the village Court Regulation.

(4) That it is desirable also to give to the Village Panchayet the powers now exercised by Dharmadarsies over the Village Muzrai Institutions.

Resolution.—Carried.

(5) That Village Panchayets should be bound to supplement by taxation to the extent necessary for the discharge of the obligatory functions the contributions that may be made to them by the Government or by the District Board for the performance of these duties.

Mr. S. Sreekantaiya moved the following amendment:—"that Village Panchayets may supplement by taxation, if any contributions that may be made to them by Government or District Board for the performance of their duties." This was seconded by Mr. B. Sreenivasa Iyengar. The amendment was carried.

(6) That a Committee consisting of official and non-official gentlemen be appointed, (a) to formulate a detailed Scheme for the Establishment of Village Panchayets in accordance with resolution No. 1.

(b) to indicate the agency which should exercise control over these Panchayets.

(c) to suggest the extent to which and the manner in which the Panchayets should perform the obligatory duties.

(d) to suggest the conditions under which Taluk Panchayets may be amalgamated with Village Panchayets.

(e) to suggest what other matters of the communal interest may be brought under the category of obligatory duties.

(7) That the Village Improvement Committees formed under executive orders of Government be abolished and the funds at their credit placed at the disposal of the Village Panchayets to be constituted in accordance with resolution areas for use in the execution of the objects for which the amounts have been collected.

(8) That it is not desirable to entrust the registration of births and deaths to Village Panchayets and that the existing arrangements for this work do continue the Regulation on the subject being suitably amended so as to bring this work within the purview of the functions of District Boards and impose upon the Patels the duty of maintaining the prescribed registers instead of appointing separate registrars for this purpose.

(9) That the rules under Village Sanitation Regulation be so modified as to empower Village Panchayets to grant House-building licenses and institute prosecutions for breaches of these Rules.

(10) That Vaccination in Municipal areas be made compulsory as a permanent measure and that the Vaccination Regulation be extended also to all Panchayet areas.

Resolution.—Carried.

(18) That the franchise be extended to women in the case of elections to Municipal Councils and Local Boards including Village Panchayets on the basis of the same qualifications as are adopted for male voters.

Resolution.—Carried. Mr. S. Sreekantaiya suggested that the words 'the right to stand as candidates' after the word 'franchise' be added, as an amendment. This was not allowed by the Chair as this did not amount to an amendment and it was suggested that a separate proposition may be moved.

Mr. S. Sreekantaiya moved "that the right to stand as candidates be extended to women in the case of elections to Municipal Councils and Local Bodies including Village Panchayets on the basis of the same qualifications as are adopted for male candidates." Mr. K. Krishnamurti Rao, seconded the proposition. The proposition was lost as none but the mover and seconder were in favour of the proposition.

(XLVI) That the question of establishment of Village Panchayets and Municipalities in Inam Villages and Jahagirs be referred to the Committee proposed to be appointed in connection with the establishment of Panchayets.

Resolution.—(18 for and 4 against) Carried.

(XLVII) That the Village Panchayets be so constituted as to consist of the Yejamans or elders of the village and if possible the head of each family.

Resolution.—Carried.

6. Mr. Chick Veeranna moved "that the construction of the bridge over the halla crossing the road from Vadigenahalli to Malur be reconsidered and work may be immediately started.

Mr. S. Sreekantaiya seconded this proposition.

Resolution.—Resolved that in pursuance of District Board passed on 25th November 1922 and on 28th February 1923 *re* the construction of a bridge and on a further application by Mr. Chickka Veeranna, District Board Member, offering an immediate payment of Rs. 500 and undertaking to make a further contribution of Rs. 500 before the completion of the work to make up the amount of Rs. 3,000 promised to be contributed, that the work of the construction of the bridge be started after receipt of the sum of Rs. 500 from Mr. Chickka Veeranna and after the due execution of Muchalika in respect of the payment of the remaining Rs. 500 by Mr. Chickka Veeranna.

7. Read draft Bye-laws framed and approved by the Finance Committee under Section 38 (f) and (h) of the Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation.

Resolution.—Deferred.

8. Read letter from Mr. R. Gopalasami Iyer, District Board Member, requesting to move the following proposition at the District Board Meeting: "The Government be respectfully requested to return the connected papers relating to the conduct of the District Patrike and the audit objections thereon."

Resolution.—(12 voted for and none against) Resolved that the Government be respectfully requested to return the entire file connected with the conduct of District Patrika, Bangalore.

9. Read letter from Mr. K. Krishna Murthi Rao, District Board Member, requesting to move the following propositions:—

(1) To construct motor sheds in every Travellers' Bungalow.

(2) To provide quarter to Travellers' Bungalow watchmen.

(3) To appoint a high caste Hindu in every Travellers' Bungalow.

Resolution.—Deferred.

10. Read letter No. L. 3258—L. B., dated the 5th November 1923 from the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments, about the reduction of Travelling Allowance to the members of the Board.

Resolution.—Deferred.

11. Proposal for a road from Maralavadi to Harohalli.

Resolution.—Sanctioned half the amount be provided in the next year's budget and a request be made to the P. W. D. to send a detailed estimate as early as possible.

Fifteen voted for, Mr. Usman Khan promising to give a dissenting note, none against.

12. Read letter No. 9.15—L. S. G. dated 1st November 1923 from the Special Officer, Local Self-Government to levy tax on pigs, etc.

Resolution.—Resolved that the levying of tax on pigs is not necessary.

Ordinary Subjects.

1. Read letter No. L. 4040-47—L. B. 103-23-2, dated 29th November 1923 from the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments *re* the revision of the Mohatarfa taxes.

Resolution.—Resolved that the present rates be continued.

2. Read letter No. E. 1929—P. & S. 9-23-16, dated 11th December 1923, from the Chief Secretary enquiring as to why the free supply of the *Mysore Gazette* to the District Board should not be discontinued as all other District Boards are subscribing for the same.

Resolution.—Resolved that the Gazette to be subscribed at the concession rate.

3. Read letter No. L. 4463-78—San. 18-23-2, dated 13th December 1923, from the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments, calling for the opinion of the District Board regarding the meeting of the cost involved in combating the epidemics.

Resolution.—Resolved that the Board regrets its inability to meet the cost.

4. Read letter No. L. 540-8-S. & A. 12-23-8, dated 10th January 1924, from the Revenue Secretary, calling for the opinion of the Taluk Boards of Hoskote and Nelamangala and of District Board *re* the levy of a cattle cess for opening a Veterinary Dispensary at the Headquarters of those taluks.

Resolution.—The opinions of the Nelamangala and the Hoskote Taluk Boards were read and approved.

5. Read letter No. 5695—L. B. 109-23-1, dated 21st January 1924, from the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments, calling for the views of the District Board regarding the opening of a Railway between Bangalore and Hosur.

Resolution.—Referred to Finance Committee.

6. Read Memo No. H. C. 596 of 23-24, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, calling for the views of the District Board as to the continuance of Bidadi travellers' bungalow as a 3rd class one.

Resolution.—The District Board agrees to undertake the management of the travellers' bungalow as third class.

7. Read letter No. C. 1334-4, dated 5th February 1924, from the Sanitary Commissioner, requesting to take necessary action to construct a separate building for Yelahanka Dispensary.

Resolution.—The District Board pays its quota of 1/3 of the expenditure.

8. *

9. Read Memo No. H. C. 470 of 23-24, dated 4th December 1923, from the Deputy Commissioner with the letter No. 2244 Cs. dated 5—6th March 1924, from the Registrar of Co-operative Societies *re* the increment to be given to Mr. D. Venkatanarasappa, Sanitary Inspector.

Resolution.—Be referred to Finance Committee.

10. *

11. Read letter No. C. 949—L. B. 23-24, dated the 15—18th December 1923, from the District Board President, Mysore, stating that the Mysore District Board are prepared to contribute substantively towards the constructions of a commodious musafirkhana for the accommodation of the relations of patients who attend the Tuberculosis Hospital at Mysore and asking this District Board to contribute towards the same.

Resolution.—Referred to Finance Committee.

12. Read letter No. C. 1284, dated 27th December 1923, from the Senior Surgeon *re* the appointment of a relieving Compounder for this District.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

13. Read letter No. C. 1139-5, dated 31st December 1923, from the Senior Surgeon in the matter of enhancement of the rent of Malleswaram Dispensary building.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

14. Read report No. 122, dated the 31st December 1923, from the President, Magadi Taluk Board with the Taluk Board and Village Panchayet's resolutions to increase the grant by Rs. 12 to Kadur Vaidyasala.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

15. Read report No. 162, dated 2nd January 1924, from the President, Hoskote Taluk Board and Municipal Council resolutions *re* the establishment of a Vaidyasala at Sulibele.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

16. Read letter No. C. 1012-1, dated 5th December 1923, from the Senior Surgeon with the Taluk Board resolution *re* the appointment of a trained Midwife for Dommasandra.

Resolution.—Sanctioned to be paid by the Taluk Board fund.

17. Read report No. 1242, dated 15th January 1924, from the President, Bangalore Taluk Board *re* the acquisition of 19 guntas and 53 yards in Survey No. 56 in Gulaganjipalya for Village Extension.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

18. Read report No. 141, dated 17th January 1924, from the President of Bangalore Taluk Board *re* the acquisition of 2 acres and 20 guntas in Marenahalli for Village Extension.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

19. Read report No. 551, dated the 2nd February 1924, from the President, Channapatna Taluk Board with the Taluk Board resolution to increase the grant by Rs. 5 to Hanganur Vaidyasala temporarily to the end of Official year from January 1924 owing to adverse season condition.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

20. Read report No. 4548, dated February 1924, from the Channapatna Taluk Board President with the Taluk Board resolution in the matter of appointing an additional Midwife to Channapatna Dispensary.

Resolution.—Deferred.

21. Read letter No. 343, dated 10th February 1924, from the Mysore Institute for the Deaf and the Blind to increase the grant from Rs. 100 to 400 from District Funds.

Resolution.—Resolved that it is not possible to increase the grant.

22. Read report No. 173, dated 12th February 1924, from the President, Nelaman-gala Taluk Board to grant contribution from District Board Funds of 1/3 cost required for establishment of Vaidyasala at Sivaganga and Sondekoppa.

Resolution.—Details be called for.

23. Read letter No. 201, dated 13th February, 1924, from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, requesting that the grant of Rs. 3,000 sanctioned for original works for 23-24 may be increased by Rs. 2,500.

Resolution.—Matter may be referred to Finance Committee.

Postponed to 26th May 1924.

All other subjects deferred.

B. ABDUR RAHMAN,
President, District Board.

TUMKUR DISTRICT

Notification dated 12th May 1924.

The right to collect tolls at the toll-gate as noted in Schedules A and B during the official year 1924-25 will be sold by public auction at the place and on the date specified therein.

2. Persons intending to bid at the sale must attend in person or by a duly accredited agent. Every such person should deposit beforehand an amount equal to one-sixth of the contract amount for the previous year as shown in schedule A, as earnest money. The deposits of all the bidders except the first two or three whose bids are to be recommended for acceptance will be returned at the close of the sale. The deposit of the successful bidder who will be called the contractor will be returned only on fulfilment of the contract. The deposits of the remaining bidders will be returned soon after the confirmation of the sale.

3. The sale will not become absolute until confirmed by the authority noted in schedule A, who reserves the final power of refusing or accepting without assigning any reason whatever, the highest or any bid that may be offered.

4. The contractor shall within fifteen days of the receipt of intimation of confirmation of the sale in his favour execute an agreement on a duly stamped paper binding himself and his heirs to observe the conditions hereinafter set forth and to be subject to the penalties, forfeitures and liabilities referred to therein and shall furnish, if he does not hold property in Mysore, at least two sureties who own sufficient properties in the Mysore State for the due performance of the contract.

5. The contract shall not be transferred by sale, gift or otherwise or sub-leased without the previous sanction of the Deputy Commissioner.

6. The contract amount shall be paid in twelve equal monthly instalments in advance, on 1st day of every month into the Taluk Office.

7. The contractor shall have no claim to the fees recovered from persons compounding the payment of toll in accordance with the Standing Rules and Orders approved by Government. He shall also pay along with the instalments, the authorised Local Railway and Educational Cesses, if any, at the prescribed rates.

8. Interest at 9 per cent per annum shall be levied on all overdue amounts till the date of payment.

9. The contractor shall collect tolls only at the rates and at the gates on the traffic specified in Schedules A and B.

10. The contractor shall give to the person paying the toll a proper receipt showing the date and hour of payment of toll.

11. The contractor shall keep at the toll-gate, true accounts of the daily collections and of the traffic passing through the toll-gate. These accounts shall be open to inspection of the Deputy Commissioner, the Assistant Commissioner and the Amildar. He shall, if required by the Deputy Commissioner furnish to the Taluk Office at the end of every month, a true return for the month showing the traffic passing through the gate in the prescribed form.

12. The contractor will have the use of the existing toll-house and gate without payment of any rent, and he should keep them in good repair, failing which, the cost of repair will be recovered from him. If any toll-gate or house is required, it will be put up at the cost of Local Board.

13. The contractor shall not be entitled to any remission of the contract amount or to any compensation on account of seasonal conditions or any other cause whatever.

14. The contractor shall conform generally to the rules published in the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 274, dated 4th November 1876 and the rules issued under the Local Boards and Village Panchayats Regulation or the rules framed by the Municipal